



# Fixed point theorems for $R''$ -Kanan mapping in b-metric spaces

Cholatis Suanoom<sup>1,\*</sup>, Chatsuda Chanmanee<sup>2</sup> and Patchara Muangkarn<sup>3</sup>

Program of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Kamphaengphet Rajabhat University, Kamphaengphet, Thailand; <sup>1</sup>cholatis.suanoom@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup>chatsuda.chanmanee@gmail.com; <sup>3</sup>putchara\_31@windowslive.com

\*Correspondence: cholatis.suanoom@gmail.com

**Abstract:** In this paper, we extend and improve  $R'$ -contractions and via  $R'$ -functions mappings to  $R'$ -Max-kanan and  $R''$ -kanan mappings by using the concept of kanan mappings. Second, we establish new mapping, that is  $R'$ -Max-kanan and  $R''$ -kanan mappings and prove the results of fixed point for  $R'$ -Max-kanan and  $R''$ -kanan mappings in b-metric spaces. Moreover, we obtain fixed point theorems for  $R'$ -Max-kanan and  $R''$ -kanan mappings in b-metric spaces and present some examples to illustrate and support our results.

**Keywords:** fixed point; b-metric spaces;  $R'$ -Max-kanan mappings;  $R''$ -kanan mappings;  $R$ -function; K-simulation function

AMS Math Classification (2010) : 47H05, 47H10, 47J25

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The Banach fixed point theorem is an important tool in the theory of metric spaces, it guarantees the existence and uniqueness of fixed points of certain self-maps of metric spaces, and provides a constructive method to find those fixed points was introduced by Stefan Banach [1] in 1922. Important, the study of fixed point theory started from such theorem as follows: Let  $T$  be a self-mapping on metric spaces  $X$ . Then  $T$  is called a *contraction mapping* if there exists  $r \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, y), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

In 1969, Kannan [2] extended the concept of Banach [1] and obtained the same conclusion as in Banach's Theorem but with different sufficient conditions as follows: Let  $T$  be a self-mapping on metric spaces  $X$ . Then  $T$  is called a *Kannan mapping* if there exists  $r \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq rd(x, Tx) + rd(y, Ty), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

In 1972, Bianchini [3] introduced generalized Kannan mapping which generalized the concept of Kannan [2] as follows: Let  $T$  be a self-mapping on metric spaces  $X$ . Then  $T$  is called a *generalized Kannan mapping* or *Bianchini mapping* if there exists  $r \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq r \max\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

In 2015, Khojasteh et al. [4] introduced the notion of Z-contraction defined by simulation function. Then, Khojasteh et al. proved a new fixed point theorem concerning Z-contraction which generalizes Banach's contraction principle. Recently, Roldán López de Hierro and Shahzad [5] introduced the concept of R-contraction defined by R-function in order to generalize the previous results.

On the other hand, Bakhtin [6] and Czerwik [7] developed the notion of b-metric space and established some fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces. Subsequently, several results appeared in this direction [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. Recently, Mongkolkeha and et al. [17] introduced the notion of a simulation function in the setting of b-metric spaces as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** [7] A  $b$ -metric on a set  $X$  is a mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfying the following conditions: for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

- (b<sub>1</sub>)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (b<sub>2</sub>)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ;
- (b<sub>3</sub>) there exists  $K \geq 1$  such that  $d(x, y) \leq K(d(x, z) + d(z, y))$ .

Then  $(X, d)$  is known as a  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $K$ .

Note that every metric space is a  $b$ -metric space with  $K = 1$ . Some examples of  $b$ -metric space are given below:

**Example 1.1.** [7, 16]

- (i) Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Define a mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$d(x, y) = (x + y)^2 \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $K = 2$ .

- (ii) Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Define a mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $d(1, 1) = d(2, 2) = d(3, 3) = 0$ ,  $d(1, 2) = d(2, 1) = 2$ ,  $d(2, 3) = d(3, 2) = 1$  and  $d(1, 3) = d(3, 1) = 6$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $K = 2$ .

- (iii) The set of real numbers together with the functional

$$d(x, y) := |x - y|^2$$

for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$  is a  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s = 2$ . Also, we obtain that  $d$  is not a metric on  $X$ .

- (iv) Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and a functional  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d(0, 0) &= d(1, 1) = d(2, 2) = 0, \\ d(0, 1) &= d(1, 0) = d(1, 2) = d(2, 1) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d(2, 0) = d(0, 2) = m,$$

where  $m$  is a given real number such that  $m \geq 2$ . It is easy to see that

$$d(x, y) \leq \frac{m}{2}[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$$

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s = \frac{m}{2}$ . However, if  $m > 2$ , the ordinary triangle inequality does not hold and thus  $(X, d)$  is not a metric space.

In 2017, Mongkolkeha and et al. [17] introduced a simulation function in the framework of  $b$ -metric spaces shown below:

**Definition 1.2.** [17] Let  $K$  be a given real number such that  $K \geq 1$ . A  $K$ -simulation function is a mapping  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- ( $\zeta_1$ )  $\zeta(0, 0) = 0$ ;
- ( $\zeta_2$ )  $\zeta(Kt, s) \leq s - Kt$ , for all  $t, s > 0$
- ( $\zeta_3$ ) if  $\{t_n\}, \{s_n\}$  are sequences in  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Kt_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n > 0$  and  $t_n < s_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(Kt_n, s_n) < 0.$$

The class of all  $K$ -simulation functions  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $Z^*$

**Example 1.2.** [17] Let  $\lambda, K \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $\lambda < 1$  and  $K \geq 1$ . Define the mapping  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\zeta(Kt, s) = \begin{cases} s - Kt & \text{if } s < t, \\ \frac{\lambda s - Kt}{Ks + 1} & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\zeta \in Z^*$  but  $\zeta \notin Z$ .

In this year, Wiriyaopongsanon and Phudolsitthiphat [18] defined a generalization of R-contraction in b-metric spaces, called  $R'$ -contractions, via  $R'$ -functions and proved the existence and uniqueness of fixed point for such classes of mappings in complete b-metric spaces.

**Definition 1.3.** [18] Let  $K$  be a given real number such that  $K \geq 1$ . A function  $\varrho : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $R'$ -function if it satisfies the following two conditions:

( $\varrho'_1$ ) If  $\{a_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  is a sequence such that  $\varrho(Ka_{n+1}, a_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ .

( $\varrho'_2$ ) If  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  are two sequences such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ka_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = L \geq 0$  and verify-

ing

that  $L < Ka_n$  and  $\varrho(Ka_n, b_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $L = 0$ .

The class of all  $R'$ -functions  $\varrho : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $R^*$ . We also consider the following property.

( $\varrho'_3$ ) If  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  are two sequences such that  $b_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varrho(Ka_n, b_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$a_n \rightarrow 0$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** [18] Every  $K$ -simulation function is a  $R$ -function that also verifies ( $\varrho'_3$ ).

**Definition 1.5.** [5] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called  $R$ -contraction if there exists an  $R$ -function  $\varrho : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{ran}(d) \subseteq A$  and

$$\varrho(d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y)) > 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ such that } x \neq y.$$

Notice that if we take  $\varrho(t, s) = \lambda s - t$  for all  $s, t \geq 0$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  in Definition 1.5, then  $R$ -contraction become the Banach contraction.

**Theorem 1.3.** [18] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete b-metric space with coefficient  $K \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $R'$ -contraction with respect  $\varrho \in R^*$ . If  $\varrho(Kt, s) \leq s - Kt$  for all  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$  then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

In this paper, we extend and improve  $R'$ -contractions and via  $R'$ -functions mappings to  $R'$ -Max-kanan and  $R''$ -kanan mappings by using the concept of kanan mappings. Second, we establish new mapping, that is  $R'$ -Max-kanan and  $R''$ -kanan mappings and prove the results of fixed point for  $R'$ -Max-kanan and  $R''$ -kanan mappings in b-metric spaces. Moreover, we obtain fixed point theorems for  $R'$ -Max-kanan and  $R''$ -kanan mappings in b-metric spaces and present some examples to illustrate and support our results which generalized the concept of Kannan [2], Bianchini [3].

## 2 Results

In this section, we proof fixed point for  $R'$ -Max kanan mapping in b-metric spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete b-metric space with coefficient  $K \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $R'$ -Max-kanan mapping, i.e.,

$$\varrho(2Kd(Tx, Ty), \max\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}) > 0$$

with respect  $\varrho \in R^*$ . If  $\varrho(2Kt, s) \leq s - 2Kt$  for all  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$  then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be a arbitrary point. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be Picard sequence of  $T$  based on  $x_0$ , that is,  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ . If there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{n_0+1} = x_0$ , then  $Tx_{n_0} = x_0$  Which implies that  $x_{n_0}$  is a fixed point. Assume  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\{a_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  be a sequence defined by  $a_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By  $R'$ -Max-kanan mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(2Ka_{n+1}, a_n) &= \varrho(2Kd(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\}) \\ &= \varrho(2Kd(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), \max\{d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})\}) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\} = d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \varrho(2Kd(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \\ &< d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) - 2Kd(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &= a_n - 2Ka_n \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho(2Ka_{n+1}, a_n + a_{n+1}) &= \varrho(2Kd(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &= \varrho(2Kd(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(x_n, Tx_n)) \\ &> 0.\end{aligned}$$

By using the condition  $(\varrho'_1)$ , we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0.$$

Next, we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence reasoning by contradiction. If  $\{x_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) > \varepsilon_0 \text{ and } d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}) < \varepsilon_0 \text{ for all } m_k > n_k \geq k. \quad (2.1)$$

We consider, for any  $m_k > n_k \geq k$ ,

$$\varepsilon_0 < d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \leq K(d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}) + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})) < K(\varepsilon_0 + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})).$$

Taking limit superior from  $k$  to infinity, we have

$$\varepsilon_0 \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \leq K\varepsilon_0. \quad (2.2)$$

Since  $d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_{k-1}}) \leq K(d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}))$ , taking limit superior from  $k$  to infinity,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_{k-1}}) \leq K\varepsilon_0. \quad (2.3)$$

If  $d(x_{n_{k_0-1}}, x_{m_{k_0-1}}) = 0$  for some  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x_{n_{k_0}} = x_{m_{k_0}}$ , which contradict to (2.1) Therefore  $x_{n_{k-1}} \neq x_{m_{k-1}}$  for all  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . By  $R'$ -Max-kanan mapping, we get

$$\begin{aligned}0 &< \varrho(2Kd(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}), \max\{d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}), d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})\}) \\ &\leq \max\{d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}), d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})\} - 2Kd(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}).\end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$2Kd(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) < \max\{d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}), d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})\} \text{ for all } k_0 \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.4)$$

By (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we get that

$$2K\varepsilon_0 \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2Kd(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\max\{d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}), d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})\}).$$

By continuity of maximum functions, we have

$$2K\varepsilon_0 \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2Kd(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) = \max\{\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}), \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})\} = 0.$$

Since  $2K\varepsilon_0 \leq 0$ , we have  $K\varepsilon_0 = 0$ . That is a contradiction. Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is complete, there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow z$ . By definition of convergence sequence,

$$\text{for any } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there exists } \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } d(x_n, z) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n > N. \quad (2.5)$$

Next, we will show that  $z$  is fixed point. Let  $\Omega = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(x_n, z) = 0\}$ . Assume that  $\Omega$  is not finite, then we can find  $n_0 > N$  such that  $d(x_{n_0}, z) = 0$  i.e.  $x_{n_0} = z$ . Since  $x_{n_0} \neq x_{n_0+1}$  and  $x_{n_0+1} = Tx_{n_0} = Tz$ , and then  $z \neq Tz$ .

Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{d(z, Tz)}{2} > 0$ . By (2.5), we have

$$\varepsilon > d(x_{n_0+1}, z) = d(Tx_{n_0}, z) = d(Tz, z) = 2\varepsilon,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\Omega$  is finite, there exists  $n_0$  such that  $d(x_n, z) > 0$  for all  $n > n_0$ . Since  $T$  is a  $R'$ -Max-kanan mapping,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &< \varrho(2Kd(Tx_n, Tz), \max\{d(x_n, Tx_n), d(z, Tz)\}) \\ &\leq \max\{d(x_n, Tx_n), d(z, Tz)\} - 2Kd(Tx_n, Tz).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$2Kd(Tx_n, Tz) \leq \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(z, Tz)\}.$$

If  $\max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(z, Tz)\} = d(z, Tz)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 2Kd(Tx_n, Tz) &\leq d(z, Tz). \\ &\leq Kd(z, Tx_n) + Kd(Tx_n + Tz), \end{aligned}$$

so,

$$Kd(Tx_n, Tz) \leq Kd(z, Tx_n). \tag{2.6}$$

If  $\max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(z, Tz)\} = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ , then

$$Kd(Tx_n, Tz) \leq 2Kd(Tx_n, Tz) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}). \tag{2.7}$$

Taking limit  $n$  to infinity on (2.6) and (2.7), thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Kd(Tx_n, Tz) = 0.$$

That is  $\{x_{n+1} = Tx_n\} \rightarrow Tz$ . By the uniqueness of the limit,  $Tz = z$ . Finally, we show that  $z$  is unique fixed point of  $T$ . Assume  $x = Tx$  and  $y = Ty$  such that  $x \neq y$ . We consider

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \rho(2kd(Tx, Ty), \max\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}) \\ &< 0 - 2kd(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

So  $x = y$ . □

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $(X, d)$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $K = 2$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be given by  $T(x) = \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{11}(3+x)}$  for all  $x \in X$ . For all  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \geq y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &= \left( \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{11}(3+x)} - \frac{y^2}{\sqrt{11}(3+y)} \right)^2 \\ &= \left( \frac{3(x^2 - y^2) + xy(x - y)}{\sqrt{11}(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{11} \left( \frac{3(x^2 - y^2) + xy(x - y)}{(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} &= \max \left\{ \left( x - \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{11}(3+x)} \right)^2, \left( x - \frac{y^2}{\sqrt{11}(3+y)} \right)^2 \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \left( \frac{\sqrt{11}(3x^2 + x^2y + 3xy + 9x) - 3x^2 - x^2y}{\sqrt{11}(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left( \frac{\sqrt{11}(3y^2 + xy^2 + 3xy + 9y) - 3y^2 - xy^2}{\sqrt{11}(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2 \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{11} \left( \frac{\sqrt{11}(3x^2 + x^2y + 3xy + 9x) - 3x^2 - x^2y}{(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{1}{11} \left( \frac{\sqrt{11}(3y^2 + xy^2 + 3xy + 9y) - 3y^2 - xy^2}{(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2 \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{11} \left( \frac{\sqrt{11}(3x^2 + x^2y + 3xy + 9x) - 3x^2 - x^2y}{(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2; x \geq y \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{11} \left( \frac{\sqrt{9}(3x^2 + x^2y + 3xy + 9x) - 3x^2 - x^2y}{(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{11} \left( \frac{3(2x^2 + 9x) + xy(2x + 9)}{(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Define  $\varrho : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\varrho(2t, s) = \frac{s}{1+s} - 2t$ , then  $\varrho \in R^*$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\varrho(2d(Tx, Ty), \max\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}) \\
 &\geq \frac{\frac{1}{11} \left( \frac{3(2x^2+9x)+xy(2x+9)}{(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2}{1 + \frac{1}{11} \left( \frac{3(2x^2+9x)+xy(2x+9)}{(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2} - \frac{2}{11} \left( \frac{3(x^2 - y^2) + xy(x - y)}{(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2 \\
 &\geq \frac{\frac{1}{11} \left( \frac{3(2x^2+9x)+xy(2x+9)}{(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2}{1 + \left(\frac{1}{11}\right)\left(\frac{44^2}{9^2}\right)} - \frac{2}{11} \left( \frac{3(x^2 - y^2) + xy(x - y)}{(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2 \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{44} \left( \frac{3(2x^2 + 9x) + xy(2x + 9)}{(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2 - \frac{2}{11} \left( \frac{3(x^2 - y^2) + xy(x - y)}{(3+x)(3+y)} \right)^2 \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{44} \left( \frac{36x^4 + 324x^3 + 729x^2 + 24x^4y + 216x^3y + 486x^2y + 4x^4y^2 + 36x^3y^2 + 81x^2y^2}{(3+x)^2(3+y)^2} \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{2}{11} \left( \frac{9x^4 - 18x^2y^2 + 9y^4 + 6x^4y - 6x^3y^2 - 6x^2y^3 + 6xy^4 + x^4y^2 - 2x^3y^3 + x^2y^4}{(3+x)^2(3+y)^2} \right) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{44} \left( \frac{-36x^4 + 324x^3 + 729x^2 - 24x^4y + 216x^3y + 486x^2y - 4x^4y^2 + 84x^3y^2 + 225x^2y^2 - 72y^4 + 48x^2y^3 - 48xy^4 + 16x^3y^3 - 8x^2y^4}{(3+x)^2(3+y)^2} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{1}{44} \left( \frac{-36x^4 + 324x^3 + 729x^2 - 24x^4y + 212x^2y^2 + 486x^2y + 84x^3y^2 + 153y^4 + 8x^2y^4}{(3+x)^2(3+y)^2} \right) ; x \geq y \\ &\geq \frac{1}{44} \left( \frac{288x^4 + 729x^2 - 24x^4y + 212x^2y^2 + 486x^2y + 84x^3y^2 + 153y^4 + 8x^2y^4}{(3+x)^2(3+y)^2} \right) ; x^3 \geq x^4 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{44} \left( \frac{264x^5 + 729x^2 + 212x^2y^2 + 486x^2y + 84x^3y^2 + 153y^4 + 8x^2y^4}{(3+x)^2(3+y)^2} \right) ; x^4 \geq x^5 \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T$  satisfies the  $R'$ -Max-kanan mapping of Theorem 2.1 and 0 is the unique fixed point of  $T$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $K$  be a given real number such that  $K \geq 1$ . A function  $\varrho : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $R''$ -function if it satisfies the following two conditions:

( $\varrho'_1$ ) If  $\{a_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  is a sequence such that  $\varrho(2Ka_{n+1}, a_n + a_{n+1}) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ .

( $\varrho'_2$ ) If  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  are two sequences such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ka_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = L \geq 0$  and verifying

that

$$L < Ka_n \text{ and } \varrho(Ka_n, b_n) > 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ then } L = 0.$$

The class of all  $R''$ -functions  $\varrho : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $R^{**}$ . We also consider the following property.

( $\varrho'_3$ ) If  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  are two sequences such that  $b_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\varrho(Ka_n, b_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $K \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $R''$ -kanan mapping, i.e.,

$$\varrho(2Kd(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)) > 0$$

with respect  $\varrho \in R^{**}$ . If  $\varrho(2Kt, s) \leq s - 2Kt$ , for all  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$  then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be Picard sequence of  $T$  based on  $x_0$ , that is,  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ . If there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{n_0+1} = x_{n_0}$ , then  $Tx_{n_0} = x_{n_0}$  Which implies that  $x_{n_0}$  is a fixed point. Assume  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\{a_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  be a sequence defined by  $a_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By  $R''$ -kanan mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(2Ka_{n+1}, a_n + a_{n+1}) &= \varrho(2Kd(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \\ &= \varrho(2Kd(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using the condition ( $\varrho'_1$ ), we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0.$$

Next, we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence reasoning by contradiction. If  $\{x_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) > \varepsilon_0 \text{ and } d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}) < \varepsilon_0 \text{ for all } m_k > n_k \geq k. \tag{2.8}$$

We consider, for any  $m_k > n_k \geq k$ ,

$$\varepsilon_0 < d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \leq K(d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}) + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})).$$

Taking limit superior from  $k$  to infinity, we have

$$\varepsilon_0 \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \leq K\varepsilon_0. \tag{2.9}$$

Since  $d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_{k-1}}) \leq K(d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_{k-1}}))$ , taking limit superior from  $k$  to infinity,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_{k-1}}) \leq K\varepsilon_0. \tag{2.10}$$

If  $d(x_{n_{k_0-1}}, x_{m_{k_0-1}}) = 0$  for some  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $x_{n_{k_0}} = x_{m_{k_0}}$ , which contradict to (2.8) Therefore  $x_{n_{k-1}} \neq x_{m_{k-1}}$  for all  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . By  $R''$ -kanan mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \varrho(2Kd(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}), d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})) \\ &\leq [d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})] - 2Kd(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$2Kd(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) < d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k}) \text{ for all } k_0 \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.11)$$

By (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11), we get that

$$K\varepsilon_0 \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2Kd(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})] \leq K\varepsilon_0.$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2Kd(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{m_{k-1}}, x_{m_k})] = K\varepsilon_0.$$

Since  $K\varepsilon_0 < 2Kd(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k})$ , for all  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and the condition  $(\varrho'_2)$ ,  $K\varepsilon_0 = 0$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is complete, there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow z$ . By definition of convergence sequence,

$$\text{for any } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there exists } \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } d(x_n, z) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n > N. \quad (2.12)$$

Next, we will show that  $z$  is fixed point. Let  $\Omega = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : d(x_n, z) = 0\}$ . Assume that  $\Omega$  is not finite, then we can find  $n_0 > N$  such that  $d(x_{n_0}, z) = 0$  i.e.  $x_{n_0} = z$ . Since  $x_{n_0} \neq x_{n_0+1}$  and  $x_{n_0+1} = Tx_{n_0} = Tx$ , and then  $z \neq Tz$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{d(z, Tz)}{2} > 0$ . By (2.12), we have

$$\varepsilon > d(x_{n_0+1}, z) = d(Tx_{n_0}, z) = d(Tz, z),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\Omega$  is finite, there exists  $n_0$  such that  $d(x_n, z) > 0$  for all  $n > n_0$ . Since  $T$  is a  $R''$ -Kanan mapping,

$$0 < \varrho(2Kd(Tx_n, Tz), d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(z, Tz)) \leq [d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(z, Tz)] - 2Kd(Tx_n, Tz).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 2Kd(Tx_n, Tz) &\leq d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(z, Tz) \\ &\leq d(x_n, Tx_n) + k[d(z, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, Tz)] \\ Kd(Tx_n, Tz) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + Kd(z, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit  $n$  to infinity,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} Kd(Tx_n, Tz) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + Kd(z, x_{n+1})] = 0.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Kd(Tx_n, Tz) = 0.$$

That is  $\{x_{n+1} = Tx_n\} \rightarrow Tz$ . By the uniqueness of the limit,  $Tz = z$ . Finally, let us show that  $z$  is unique fixed point of  $T$ . Assume  $x = Tx$  and  $y = Ty$  such that  $x \neq y$ . Let  $a_n = d(x, y) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We consider

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(2Ka_{n+1}, a_n + a_{n+1}) &= \varrho(2Kd(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \\ &= \varrho(2Kd(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using  $(\varrho'_1)$ , we get  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ , which imply that  $d(x, y) = 0$ , which is a contradiction. So  $x = y$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.4.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $(X, d)$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $K = 2$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be given by  $T(x) = \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{5(4+x)}}$  for all  $x \in X$ . For all  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \geq y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &= \left| \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{5(4+x)}} - \frac{y^2}{\sqrt{5(4+y)}} \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \frac{x^2(4+y) - y^2(4+x)}{\sqrt{5(4+x)(4+y)}} \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{5} \left| \frac{4x^2 + x^2y - 4y^2 - xy^2}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) &= \left| x - \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{5}(4+x)} \right|^2 + \left| y - \frac{y^2}{\sqrt{5}(4+y)} \right|^2 \\
 &= \left| \frac{\sqrt{5}(4x+x^2)(4+y) - x(4+y)}{\sqrt{5}(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 + \\
 &\quad \left| \frac{\sqrt{5}(4y+y^2)(4+x) - y(4+x)}{\sqrt{5}(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{5} \left( \left| \frac{\sqrt{5}(16x+4xy+4x^2+x^2y) - 4x-4y}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left| \frac{\sqrt{5}(16y+4xy+4y^2+xy^2) - 4y-4x}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \right) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{5} \left( \left| \frac{\sqrt{4}(16x+4xy+4x^2+x^2y) - 4x-4y}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left| \frac{\sqrt{4}(16y+4xy+4y^2+xy^2) - 4y-4x}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{5} \left( \left| \frac{28x+8xy+8x^2+2x^2y-4y}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{28y+8xy+8y^2+2xy^2-4x}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Define  $\varrho : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\varrho(2t, s) = \frac{s}{1+s} - 2t$ , then  $\varrho \in R^{**}$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\varrho(2d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)) \\
 &\geq \frac{\frac{1}{5} \left( \left| \frac{28x+8xy+8x^2+2x^2y-4y}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{28y+8xy+8y^2+2xy^2-4x}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \right)}{1 + \frac{1}{5} \left( \left| \frac{28x+8xy+8x^2+2x^2y-4y}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{28y+8xy+8y^2+2xy^2-4x}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \right)} - \frac{2}{5} \left| \frac{4x^2+x^2y-4y^2-xy^2}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \\
 &\geq \frac{\frac{1}{5} \left( \left| \frac{28x+8xy+8x^2+2x^2y}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{28y+8xy+8y^2+2xy^2-4x}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \right)}{1 + \frac{1}{5} \left( \frac{529}{320} \right)} - \frac{2}{5} \left| \frac{4x^2+x^2y-4y^2-xy^2}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \\
 &\geq \frac{320}{2,129} \left( \left| \frac{28x+8xy+8x^2+2x^2y-4y}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{28y+8xy+8y^2+2xy^2-4x}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \right) - \frac{2}{5} \left| \frac{4x^2+x^2y-4y^2-xy^2}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \\
 &= \frac{1,280}{2,129} \left( \left| \frac{14x+4xy+4x^2+x^2y-2y}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{14y+4xy+4y^2+xy^2-2x}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \right) - \frac{2}{5} \left| \frac{4x^2+x^2y-4y^2-xy^2}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 \\
 &\geq \frac{1,280}{2,129} \left| \frac{12x+4xy+4x^2+x^2y}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2 - \frac{2}{5} \left| \frac{4x^2+x^2y}{(4+x)(4+y)} \right|^2; x \geq y \\
 &\geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T$  satisfies the  $R''$ -kanan mapping of Theorem 2.3 and 0 is the unique fixed point of  $T$ .

### 3 Discussion

Future research directions may also be possible.

Open problems 1:

If  $T$  satisfies

$$\varrho(3Kd(Tx, Ty), \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}) > 0$$

then  $T$ , has a unique fixed point.

Open problems 2:

If  $T, S$  satisfies

$$\varrho(3Kd(Tx, Sy), d(x, y) + d(x, Tx) + d(y, Sy)) > 0$$

then  $T, S$  has a unique common fixed point.

#### 4 Conclusions

In this paper, we extend and improve  $R'$ -contractions and via  $R'$ -functions mappings to  $R'$ -Max-kanan and  $R''$ -kanan mappings by using the concept of kanan mappings. Second, we establish new mapping, that is  $R'$ -Max-kanan and  $R''$ -kanan mappings and prove the results of fixed point for  $R'$ -Max-kanan and  $R''$ -kanan mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces. Moreover, we obtain fixed point theorems for  $R'$ -Max-kanan and  $R''$ -kanan mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces and present some examples to illustrate and support our results as follows:

1. A  $b$ -metric on a set  $X$  is a mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  satisfying the following conditions: for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$(b_1) \quad d(x, y) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y;$$

$$(b_2) \quad d(x, y) = d(y, x);$$

$$(b_3) \quad \text{there exists } K \geq 1 \text{ such that } d(x, y) \leq K(d(x, z) + d(z, y)).$$

Then  $(X, d)$  is known as a  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $K$ .

2. Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $K \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $R'$ -Max-kanan mapping, i.e.,

$$\varrho(2Kd(Tx, Ty), \max\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}) > 0$$

with respect  $\varrho \in R^*$ . If  $\varrho(2Kt, s) \leq s - 2Kt$  for all  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$  then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

3. Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $(X, d)$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $K = 2$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be given by  $T(x) = \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{11(3+x)}}$  for all  $x \in X$ .

4. Let  $K$  be a given real number such that  $K \geq 1$ . A function  $\varrho : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $R''$ -function if it satisfies the following two conditions:

$$(\varrho'_1) \quad \text{If } \{a_n\} \subset (0, \infty) \text{ is a sequence such that } \varrho(2Ka_{n+1}, a_n + a_{n+1}) > 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ then } a_n \rightarrow 0.$$

$$(\varrho'_2) \quad \text{If } \{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset (0, \infty) \text{ are two sequences such that } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ka_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = L \geq 0 \text{ and}$$

verifying

$$\text{that } L < Ka_n \text{ and } \varrho(Ka_n, b_n) > 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ then } = 0.$$

The class of all  $R''$ -functions  $\varrho : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $R^{**}$ . We also consider the following property.

$$(\varrho'_3) \quad \text{If } \{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \subset (0, \infty) \text{ are two sequences such that } b_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \varrho(Ka_n, b_n) > 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then

$$a_n \rightarrow 0.$$

5. Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with coefficient  $K \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be  $R''$ -kanan mapping, i.e.,

$$\varrho(2Kd(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)) > 0$$

with respect  $\varrho \in R^{**}$ . If  $\varrho(2Kt, s) \leq s - 2Kt$ , for all  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$  then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank the Research and Development Institute of Kamphaeng Phet Rajabhat University, which provides funding for research.

## References

- [1] Banach S. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fund Math.* 1922;3:133–181.
- [2] Kannan R. Some results on fixed points-II. *Amer Math Monthly.* 1969;76:405–408.
- [3] Bianchini RMT. Su un problema di S. Reich agguardante la teoria dei punti fissi. *Boll Un Mat Ital.* 1969;5.
- [4] Khojasteh F, Shukla S, Radenović S. A new approach to the study of fixed point theorems via simulation functions. *Filomat.* 2015;96:1189–1194.
- [5] Roldán López de Hierro AF, Shahzad N. New fixed point theorem under R-contractions. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications.* 2015 Jul;2015(1):98. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-015-0345-y>.
- [6] Bakhtin A. The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces. *Funct Anal.* 1989;30:26–37.
- [7] Czerwik S. Contraction mapping in b-metric spaces. *Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis.* 1993;1:5–11.
- [8] Phiangsungnoen S, Kumam P. On stability of fixed point inclusion for multivalued type contraction mappings in dislocated b-metric spaces with application. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*;41(17):7407–7420. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/mma.4871>.
- [9] Singh D, Chauhan V, Kumam P, Joshi V. Some applications of fixed point results for generalized two classes of Boyd–Wong’s F-contraction in partial b-metric spaces. *Mathematical Sciences.* 2018 Jun;12(2):111–127. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40096-018-0250-8>.
- [10] Kumam W, Sukprasert P, Kumam P, Shoaib A, Shahzad A, Mahmood Q. Some fuzzy fixed point results for fuzzy mappings in complete b-metric spaces. *Cogent Mathematics & Statistics.* 2018;5. <https://doi.org/10.1080/25742558.2018.1458933>.
- [11] Kumam P, Dung NV, Hang VTL. Some equivalences between cone b-metric spaces and b-metric spaces. *Abstract and Applied Analysis.* 2013;8.
- [12] Phiangsungnoen S, Kumam P. Fuzzy fixed point theorems for multivalued fuzzy contractions in b-metric spaces. *J Nonlinear Sci Appl.* 2015;8(5563).
- [13] Piri H, Kumam P. Fixed point theorems for generalized F-Suzuki contraction in complete b-metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications.* 2016;90. Doi: 10.1186/s13663-016-0577-5.
- [14] Klin-eam C, Suanoom C. Dislocated quasi-b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems for cyclic contractions. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications.* 2015;p. 1–3.
- [15] Klin-eam C, Suanoom C, Suantai S. Dislocated quasi-b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems for cyclic weakly contractions. *J Nonlinear Sci Appl.* 2016;9:2779–2780.
- [16] Sintunavarat W, Plubtieng S, Katchang P. Fixed point result and applications on a b-metric space endowed with an arbitrary binary relation. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications.* 2013 Nov;2013(1):296. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-296>.
- [17] Mongkolkeha C, Cho YJ, Kumam P. Fixed point theorems for simulation functions in b-metric spaces via the  $wt$ -distance. *Applied General Topology.* 2017;18(1).
- [18] Wiriyaopongsanon A, Phudolsitthiphath N. Fixed Point Theorems for Generalized R-Contraction in b-Metric Spaces. *Thai Journal of Mathematics: Special Issue (ACFPTO2018) on Advances in fixed point theory towards real world optimization problems.* 2018;.

