

## A novel derivation induced by some binary operations on $d$ -algebras

Thanatporn Bantaojai<sup>1</sup>, Cholatis Suanoom<sup>2</sup>,  
Jirayu Phuto<sup>3</sup>, Aiyared Iampan<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics English Program  
Valaya Alongkorn Rajabhat University under the Royal Patronage  
Pathumtani 13180, Thailand

<sup>2</sup>Science and Applied Science Center & Program of Mathematics  
Kamphaeng Phet Rajabhat University  
Kamphaeng Phet 62000, Thailand

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics  
Naresuan University  
Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics  
School of Science  
University of Phayao  
Phayao 56000, Thailand

email: cholatis.suanoom@gmail.com, jirayup60@email.nu.ac.th,  
thanatporn.ban@vru.ac.th, aiyared.ia@up.ac.th

(Received June 30, 2021, Accepted August 3, 2021)

### Abstract

The notion of novel derivations induced by some binary operations of  $d$ -algebra is introduced and some important properties are given. The conditions for each regular derivation induced by left or right bi-endomorphisms are obtained. Finally, the composition of derivations are also studied.

---

**Key words and phrases:**  $d$ -algebra, bi-endomorphism, derivation.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications:** 06F35, 03G25.

Corresponding author: Aiyared Iampan (aiyared.ia@up.ac.th).

**ISSN** 1814-0432, 2022, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

## 1 Introduction

In 1999, Neggers and Kim [1] introduced the algebraic structures of  $d$ -algebras, a useful generalization of BCK-algebras, and they investigated several relations among  $d$ -algebras and BCK-algebras as well as several other relations among  $d$ -algebras and oriented digraphs [2]. In 2012, Kandaraj and Chandamouleeswaran [3] introduced the notion of left  $F$ -derivations of  $d$ -algebras. In 2015 and 2019, Al-Omary et al. [4] introduced the concepts of generalized derivations and  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations on  $d$ -algebras.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of novel derivations induced by some binary operations of  $d$ -algebra, give some important properties, and find some conditions for each regular derivation induced by left or right bi-endomorphisms. Finally, we study the composition of two derivations.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will review the definitions, theorems and the knowledge needed for our study.

**Definition 2.1.** [1] An algebra  $X = (X, *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  is called a  $d$ -algebra, where  $X$  is a nonempty set,  $*$  is a binary operation on  $X$ , and  $0$  is a fixed element of  $X$  if it satisfies the following axioms:

$$(d1) (\forall x \in X)(x * x = 0),$$

$$(d2) (\forall x \in X)(0 * x = 0),$$

$$(d3) (\forall x, y \in X)(x * y = 0, y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y).$$

On a  $d$ -algebra  $X = (X, *, 0)$ , the binary relation  $\leq$  is defined as follows:

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x * y = 0).$$

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$  with the following Cayley table:

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	c	0	a	a
b	b	c	0	0
c	c	b	a	0

Then  $X = (X, *, 0)$  is a  $d$ -algebra.

**Definition 2.3.** [2] A nonempty subset  $S$  of a  $d$ -algebra  $X = (X, *, 0)$  is called a subalgebra of  $X$  if  $(\forall x, y \in S)(x * y \in S)$ , and a  $d$ -ideal of  $X$  if

$$(I1) (\forall x, y \in X)(x * y \in S, y \in S \Rightarrow x \in S),$$

(I2)  $(\forall x \in S, \forall y \in X)(x * y \in S)$ .

It is easy to check that  $\{0\}$  and  $X$  are  $d$ -ideals of  $X$ .

We know that if  $I$  is a  $d$ -ideal of a  $d$ -algebra  $X = (X, *, 0)$ , then  $0 \in I$ , and every  $d$ -ideal of  $X$  is a subalgebra.

**Definition 2.4.** [1] A  $d$ -algebra  $X = (X, *, 0)$  is said to be an edge if  $(\forall x \in X)(x * X = \{x, 0\})$ . It is known that if  $X$  is an edge  $d$ -algebra, then  $x * 0 = x$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** [3] In any  $d$ -algebra  $X = (X, *, 0)$ , the following properties hold:

- (d4)  $(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x * y) * z = (x * z) * y)$ ,
- (d5)  $(\forall x, y \in X)(x * (x * y) \leq y)$ ,
- (d6)  $(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x * y) * z \leq x * (y * z))$ ,
- (d7)  $(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x * y) * (x * z) \leq z * y)$ ,
- (d8)  $(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y)$ ,
- (d9)  $(\forall x \in X)(x \leq 0 \Rightarrow x = 0)$ ,
- (d10)  $(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x * y = x * z \Rightarrow y = z)$ ,
- (d11)  $(\forall x, y, z \in X)(y * x = z * x \Rightarrow y = z)$ ,
- (d12)  $(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \leq y \Rightarrow x * z \leq y * z \text{ and } z * y \leq z * x)$ .

**Definition 2.6.** [6] A  $d$ -algebra  $X = (X, *, 0)$  is said to be associative if  $(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x * y) * z = x * (y * z))$ , and edge if  $(\forall x \in X)(x * X = \{x, 0\})$ . It is known that if  $X = (X, *, 0)$  is an edge  $d$ -algebra, then (E)  $(\forall x \in X)(x * 0 = x)$ .

### 3 Main results

In this section, we introduce bi-endomorphisms on  $d$ -algebras. After this, we will use  $X$  instead of a  $d$ -algebra  $(X, *, 0)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A binary operation  $f$  on  $X$  is called a left bi-endomorphism on  $X$  if  $(\forall x, y, z \in X)(f(x * y, z) = f(x, z) * f(y, z))$ , a right bi-endomorphism on  $X$  if  $(\forall x, y, z \in X)(f(x, y * z) = f(x, y) * f(x, z))$ , and a bi-endomorphism on  $X$  if it is both a left and a right bi-endomorphism on  $X$ .

**Example 3.2.** In Example 2.2, we define a binary operation  $f$  on  $X$  by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } (x, y) = (c, 0), \\ b & \text{if } (x, y) = (b, 0), \\ a & \text{if } (x, y) = (a, 0), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is a left bi-endomorphism on  $X$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *If  $f$  is a left bi-endomorphism on  $X$ , then*

- (1)  $(\forall x \in X)(f(0, x) = 0)$ ,
- (2)  $(\forall x, y \in X)(0 \leq f(x, y))$ ,
- (3)  $(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \leq y \Rightarrow f(x, z) \leq f(y, z))$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x \in X$ . By (d1), we have  $f(0, x) = f(x * x, x) = f(x, x) * f(x, x) = 0$ .

(2) It follows from (d2).

(3) Let  $x, y, z \in X$  be such that  $x \leq y$ . By 3.3, we have  $0 = f(0, z) = f(x * y, z) = f(x, z) * f(y, z)$ . Hence,  $f(x, z) \leq f(y, z)$ .  $\square$

For right bi-endomorphisms, it can be deduced from Proposition 3.3.

**Proposition 3.4.** *If  $f$  is a right bi-endomorphism on  $X$ , then*

- (1)  $(\forall x \in X)(f(x, 0) = 0)$ ,
- (2)  $(\forall x, y \in X)(0 \leq f(x, y))$ ,
- (3)  $(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \leq y \Rightarrow f(z, x) \leq f(z, y))$ .

If  $\beta_l$  is a left bi-endomorphism on  $X$ , we define  $\ker(\beta_l) = \{x \in X : \beta_l(x, 0) = 0\}$ . If  $\beta_r$  is a right bi-endomorphism on  $X$ , we define  $\ker(\beta_r) = \{x \in X : \beta_r(0, x) = 0\}$ . If  $\beta$  is a bi-endomorphism on  $X$ , we define  $\ker(\beta) = \{x \in X : \beta(x, x) = 0\}$ . By Propositions 3.3 and 3.4, we have  $\ker(\beta_l)$ ,  $\ker(\beta_r)$ , and  $\ker(\beta)$  are nonempty.

**Proposition 3.5.** (1) *If  $\beta_l$  is a left bi-endomorphism on  $X$ , then  $\ker(\beta_l)$  is a  $d$ -ideal (and also a subalgebra) of  $X$ .*

(2) *If  $\beta_r$  is a right bi-endomorphism on  $X$ , then  $\ker(\beta_r)$  is a  $d$ -ideal (and also a subalgebra) of  $X$ .*

(3) *If  $\beta$  is a bi-endomorphism on  $X$ , then  $\ker(\beta)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* (1) (I1) Suppose that  $\beta_l$  is a left bi-endomorphism on  $X$ . Let  $x * y \in \ker(\beta_l)$  and  $y \in \ker(\beta_l)$ . Then  $0 = \beta_l(x * y, 0) = \beta_l(x, 0) * \beta_l(y, 0) = \beta_l(x, 0) * 0$ . Using (d9), we get  $\beta_l(x, 0) = 0$ . Thus  $x \in \ker(\beta_l)$ .

(I2) Let  $x \in \ker(\beta_l)$  and  $y \in X$ . By (d2), we have  $\beta_l(x * y, 0) = \beta_l(x, 0) * 0 = 0$ .

$\beta_l(y, 0) = 0 * \beta_l(y, 0) = 0$ . Thus  $x * y \in \ker(\beta_l)$ . Therefore,  $\ker(\beta_l)$  is a  $d$ -ideal of  $X$ .

(2) By the same method of 3.5, the statement 3.5 can be obtained.

(3) Suppose that  $\beta$  is a bi-endomorphism on  $X$ . Let  $x, y \in \ker(\beta)$ . By (d2), we have  $\beta(x * y, x * y) = \beta(x, x * y) * \beta(y, x * y) = (\beta(x, x) * \beta(x, y)) * (\beta(y, x) * \beta(y, y)) = (0 * \beta(x, y)) * (\beta(y, x) * 0) = 0 * (\beta(y, x) * 0) = 0$ . Thus  $x * y \in \ker(\beta)$ . Therefore,  $\ker(\beta)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .  $\square$

Let  $B_l(X)$  (resp.,  $B_r(X)$ ,  $B(X)$ ) be a set of all left bi-endomorphisms (resp., right bi-endomorphisms, bi-endomorphisms) on an associative  $d$ -algebra  $X$ . We define an operation  $\star$  on  $B_l(X)$  by for all  $f, g \in B_l(X)$ ,  $(\forall x, y \in X)((f \star g)(x, y) = f(x, y) * g(x, y))$ . Indeed, let  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $f, g \in B_l(X)$ . By (d4) and associativity, we have  $(f \star g)(x * y, z) = f(x * y, z) * g(x * y, z) = (f(x, z) * f(y, z)) * (g(x, z) * g(y, z)) = (f(x, z) * (g(x, z) * g(y, z))) * f(y, z) = ((f(x, z) * g(x, z)) * g(y, z)) * f(y, z) = ((f \star g)(x, z) * g(y, z)) * f(y, z) = ((f \star g)(x, z) * f(y, z)) * g(y, z) = (f \star g)(x, z) * (f(y, z) * g(y, z)) = (f \star g)(x, z) * (f \star g)(y, z)$ . Thus  $f \star g \in B_l(X)$ , so  $\star$  is a binary operation on  $B_l(X)$ . Similarly, we define an operation  $\star$  on  $B_r(X)$  and on  $B(X)$  as an operation  $\star$  on  $B_l(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $X$  is an associative  $d$ -algebra, then  $(B_l(X), \star, O)$ ,  $(B_r(X), \star, O)$ , and  $(B(X), \star, O)$  are also associative  $d$ -algebras, where  $O$  is the zero map; i.e.,  $O(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .*

*Proof.* (d1) Let  $f \in B_l(X)$  and  $x, y \in X$ . By (d1), we have  $(f \star f)(x, y) = f(x, y) * f(x, y) = 0$ . Thus  $f \star f = O$ .

(d2) Let  $f \in B_l(X)$  and  $x, y \in X$ . By (d2), we have  $(O \star f)(x, y) = O(x, y) * f(x, y) = 0 * f(x, y) = 0$ . Thus  $O \star f = O$ .

(d3) Let  $f, g \in B_l(X)$  be such that  $f \star g = O$  and  $g \star f = O$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $f(x, y) * g(x, y) = (f \star g)(x, y) = 0 = (g \star f)(x, y) = g(x, y) * f(x, y)$ . By (d3), we have  $f(x, y) = g(x, y)$ . Thus  $f = g$ . (Associativity) Let  $f, g, h \in B_l(X)$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $((f \star g) \star h)(x, y) = (f(x, y) * g(x, y)) * h(x, y) = f(x, y) * (g(x, y) * h(x, y)) = (f \star (g \star h))(x, y)$ . Thus,  $(f \star g) \star h = f \star (g \star h)$ . Hence,  $(B_l(X), \star, O)$  is an associative  $d$ -algebra. For  $B_r(X)$  and  $B(X)$ , it can be proved the same as  $B_l(X)$ .  $\square$

A self-map  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  is called an *endomorphism* on  $X$  if  $\phi(x * y) = \phi(x) * \phi(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 3.7.** *Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  be an endomorphism on  $X$ . A binary operation  $f$  on  $X$  is called a  $\phi$ -endomorphism on  $X$  if  $(\forall x, y \in X)(f(x, y) = \phi(x) * \phi(y))$ .*

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  be an endomorphism on  $X$ .*

- (1) *If a left bi-endomorphism  $\beta_l$  on  $X$  is a  $\phi$ -endomorphism such that  $\beta_l(x, 0) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $\phi$  is the zero map.*
- (2) *If a right bi-endomorphism  $\beta_r$  on  $X$  is a  $\phi$ -endomorphism, then  $\beta_r(0, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\phi$  is the zero map.*

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that a left bi-endomorphism  $\beta_l$  on  $X$  is a  $\phi$ -endomorphism such that  $\beta_l(x, 0) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $0 = \beta_l(x, 0) = \phi(x) * \phi(0) = \phi(x) * 0$ . As (d9), we get  $\phi(x) = 0$ . Hence,  $\phi$  is the zero map.

(2) Suppose that a right bi-endomorphism  $\beta_r$  on  $X$  is a  $\phi$ -endomorphism. Let  $x \in X$ . By (d2), we have  $\beta_r(0, x) = \phi(0) * \phi(x) = 0 * \phi(x) = 0$ . By Proposition 3.4 3.4, we have  $0 = \beta_r(x, 0) = \phi(x) * \phi(0) = \phi(x) * 0$ . Using (d9), we have  $\phi(x) = 0$ . Hence,  $\phi$  is the zero map.  $\square$

The binary operation  $\sqcap$  on  $X$  is defined by  $(\forall x, y \in X)(x \sqcap y = (y * x) * x)$ .

Next, we generalize derivation on  $d$ -algebra induced by a binary operation  $f$  on  $X$ .

**Definition 3.9.** *Consider a binary operation  $f$  on  $X$ . A self-map  $d_f : X \rightarrow X$  is called an  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$  if  $(\forall x, y \in X)(d_f(x * y) = (d_f(x) * f(x, y)) \sqcap (f(x, y) * d_f(y)))$ , an  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$  if  $(\forall x, y \in X)(d_f(x * y) = (f(x, y) * d_f(y)) \sqcap (d_f(x) * f(x, y)))$ , an  $f$ -derivation of  $X$  if it is both an  $(l, r)$ - and an  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ , and regular if  $d_f(0) = 0$ .*

**Theorem 3.10.** *If  $d_f$  is a regular  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ , then  $(\forall x \in X)(f(0, x) \leq d_f(x))$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $d_f$  is a regular  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . By (d2), we have  $0 = d_f(0) = d_f(0 * x) = (d_f(0) * f(0, x)) \sqcap (f(0, x) * d_f(x)) = (0 * f(0, x)) \sqcap (f(0, x) * d_f(x)) = 0 \sqcap (f(0, x) * d_f(x)) = ((f(0, x) * d_f(x)) * 0) * 0$ . By (d9), we have  $f(0, x) * d_f(x) = 0$ , that is,  $f(0, x) \leq d_f(x)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11.** *If  $d_f$  is a regular  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ , then  $(\forall x \in X)((d_f(x) * f(x, x)) * (f(x, x) * d_f(x)) \leq (f(x, x) * d_f(x)))$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $d_f$  is regular. Let  $x \in X$ . By (d1), we have  $0 = d_f(0) = d_f(x * x) = (f(x, x) * d_f(x)) \sqcap (d_f(x) * f(x, x)) = ((d_f(x) * f(x, x)) * (f(x, x) * d_f(x))) * (f(x, x) * d_f(x))$ . That is,  $(d_f(x) * f(x, x)) * (f(x, x) * d_f(x)) \leq (f(x, x) * d_f(x))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $\beta_l$  be a left bi-endomorphism on  $X$ . Every  $(l, r)$ - $\beta_l$ -derivation of  $X$  is regular. In particular, if  $X$  is edge, then  $(\forall x \in X)(d_{\beta_l}(x) = (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) \sqcap \beta_l(x, 0))$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $d_{\beta_l}$  is an  $(l, r)$ - $\beta_l$ -derivation of  $X$ . By (d1), (d2), and Propositions 3.3 3.3, we have  $d_{\beta_l}(0) = d_{\beta_l}(0 * 0) = (d_{\beta_l}(0) * \beta_l(0, 0)) \sqcap (\beta_l(0, 0) * d_{\beta_l}(0)) = (d_{\beta_l}(0) * 0) \sqcap (0 * d_{\beta_l}(0)) = (d_{\beta_l}(0) * 0) \sqcap 0 = (0 * (d_{\beta_l}(0) * 0)) * (d_{\beta_l}(0) * 0) = 0$ . Hence,  $d_{\beta_l}$  is regular.

Suppose that  $X$  is edge. Let  $x \in X$ . By (E), we have  $d_{\beta_l}(x) = d_{\beta_l}(x * 0) = (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) \sqcap (\beta_l(x, 0) * d_{\beta_l}(x)) = (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) \sqcap (\beta_l(x, 0) * 0) = (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) \sqcap \beta_l(x, 0)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.13.** *Let  $I$  be a  $d$ -ideal of  $X$ ,  $g$  a self-map on  $X$ , and  $f$  a binary operation on  $X$ . Then  $I$  is called an  $f$ -ideal of  $X$  if  $f(x, y) \in I$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . An  $f$ -ideal  $I$  of  $X$  is called to be  $g$ -invariant if  $g(I) \subseteq I$ .*

**Corollary 3.14.** *Let  $\beta_l$  be a left bi-endomorphism on an associative and edge  $d$ -algebra  $X$ . Every  $\beta_l$ -ideal of  $X$  is  $d_{\beta_l}$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a  $\beta_l$ -ideal of  $X$ . Let  $x \in I$ . By (d1), (E), associativity, and Proposition 3.12, we have  $d_{\beta_l}(x) = (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) \sqcap \beta_l(x, 0) = (\beta_l(x, 0) * (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0))) * (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) = \beta_l(x, 0) * ((d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) * (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0))) = \beta_l(x, 0) * 0 = \beta_l(x, 0) \in I$ . Hence,  $I$  is  $d_{\beta_l}$ -invariant.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.15.** *Let  $\beta_r$  be a right bi-endomorphism on an edge  $d$ -algebra  $X$ . Every  $(l, r)$ - $\beta_r$ -derivation of  $X$  is the zero map.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $d_{\beta_r}$  is an  $(l, r)$ - $\beta_r$ -derivation of  $X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . By (E), (d2), and Propositions 3.4 3.4, we have  $d_{\beta_r}(x) = d_{\beta_r}(x * 0) = (d_{\beta_r}(x) * \beta_r(x, 0)) \sqcap (\beta_r(x, 0) * d_{\beta_r}(x)) = (d_{\beta_r}(x) * 0) \sqcap (0 * d_{\beta_r}(x)) = d_{\beta_r}(x) \sqcap 0 = (0 * d_{\beta_r}(x)) * d_{\beta_r}(x) = 0$ . Hence,  $d_{\beta_r}$  is the zero map.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.16.** *Let  $\beta_l$  be a left bi-endomorphism on an edge  $d$ -algebra  $X$ . If  $d_{\beta_l}$  is an  $(r, l)$ - $\beta_l$ -derivation of  $X$  satisfying  $d_{\beta_l}(x) = \beta_l(x, 0)$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $d_{\beta_l}$  is the zero map.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $d_{\beta_l}$  is an  $(r, l)$ - $\beta_l$ -derivation of  $X$  satisfying  $d_{\beta_l}(x) = \beta_l(x, 0)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . By (E), (d1), and (d2), we have  $d_{\beta_l}(x) = d_{\beta_l}(x * 0) = (\beta_l(x, 0) * d_{\beta_l}(x)) \sqcap (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) = (\beta_l(x, 0) * d_{\beta_l}(x)) \sqcap (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) = (\beta_l(x, 0) * d_{\beta_l}(x)) \sqcap 0 = (0 * (\beta_l(x, 0) * d_{\beta_l}(x))) * (\beta_l(x, 0) * d_{\beta_l}(x)) = 0$ . Hence,  $d_{\beta_l}$  is the zero map.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.17.** *Let  $\beta_l$  be a left bi-endomorphism on an edge  $d$ -algebra  $X$ . If  $d_{\beta_l}$  is an  $(l, r)$ - $\beta_l$ -derivation of  $X$  satisfying  $d_{\beta_l}(0) = \beta_l(x, 0)$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $d_{\beta_l}$  is the zero map.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $d_{\beta_l}$  is an  $(l, r)$ - $\beta_l$ -derivation of  $X$  satisfying  $d_{\beta_l}(0) = \beta_l(x, 0)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . By (E), (d1), and (d2), we have  $d_{\beta_l}(x) = d_{\beta_l}(x * 0) = (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) \sqcap (\beta_l(x, 0) * d_{\beta_l}(0)) = (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) \sqcap (d_{\beta_l}(0) * d_{\beta_l}(0)) = (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) \sqcap 0 = (0 * (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0))) * (d_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) = 0$ . Hence,  $d_{\beta_l}$  is the zero map.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.18.** *Let  $\beta_r$  be a right bi-endomorphism on an edge  $d$ -algebra  $X$ . If  $d_{\beta_r}$  is an  $(r, l)$ - $\beta_r$ -derivation of  $X$  satisfying  $d_{\beta_r}(x) = \beta_r(0, x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $d_{\beta_r}$  is the zero map.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $d_{\beta_r}$  is an  $(r, l)$ - $\beta_r$ -derivation of  $X$  satisfying  $d_{\beta_r}(x) = \beta_r(0, x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . By (E), (d1), and (d2), we have  $d_{\beta_r}(0) * 0 = d_{\beta_r}(0) = d_{\beta_r}(0 * x) = (\beta_r(0, x) * d_{\beta_r}(x)) \sqcap (d_{\beta_r}(0) * \beta_r(0, x)) = (d_{\beta_r}(x) * d_{\beta_r}(x)) \sqcap (d_{\beta_r}(0) * d_{\beta_r}(x)) = 0 \sqcap (d_{\beta_r}(0) * d_{\beta_r}(x)) = ((d_{\beta_r}(0) * d_{\beta_r}(x)) * 0) * 0 = d_{\beta_r}(0) * d_{\beta_r}(x)$ . Using (d10), we have  $d_{\beta_r}(x) = 0$ . Hence,  $d_{\beta_r}$  is the zero map.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.19.** *Let  $\beta_r$  be a right bi-endomorphism on an edge  $d$ -algebra  $X$ . If  $d_{\beta_r}$  is an  $(l, r)$ - $\beta_r$ -derivation of  $X$ , then  $d_{\beta_r}$  is the zero map.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $d_{\beta_r}$  is an  $(l, r)$ - $\beta_r$ -derivation of  $X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . By (E), (d1), (d2), and Propositions 3.4 3.4, we have  $d_{\beta_r}(x) = d_{\beta_r}(x * 0) = (d_{\beta_r}(x) * \beta_r(x, 0)) \sqcap (\beta_r(x, 0) * d_{\beta_r}(0)) = (d_{\beta_r}(x) * 0) \sqcap (0 * d_{\beta_r}(0)) = d_{\beta_r}(x) \sqcap 0 = (0 * d_{\beta_r}(x)) * d_{\beta_r}(x) = 0$ . Hence,  $d_{\beta_r}$  is the zero map.  $\square$

Next, we determine the composition of derivations on  $d$ -algebras.

**Proposition 3.20.** *Let  $f$  be a binary operation on an associative and edge  $d$ -algebra  $X$  defined by  $f(x, y) = x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . If  $d_f$  and  $D_f$  are  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivations of  $X$ , then  $d_f \circ D_f$  is also an  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $d_f$  and  $D_f$  are  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivations of  $X$ . Let  $x, y \in X$ . By associativity, (d1), and (E), we have  $(d_f \circ D_f)(x * y) = d_f(D_f(x * y)) = d_f((D_f(x) * f(x, y)) \sqcap (f(x, y) * D_f(y))) = d_f((D_f(x) * x) \sqcap (x * D_f(y))) = d_f(((x * D_f(y)) * (D_f(x) * x)) * (D_f(x) * x)) = d_f((x * D_f(y)) * ((D_f(x) * x) * (D_f(x) * x))) = d_f((x * D_f(y)) * 0) = d_f(x * D_f(y)) = (d_f(x) * f(x, D_f(y))) \sqcap (f(x, D_f(y)) * d_f(D_f(y))) = (d_f(x) * f(x, D_f(y))) \sqcap (f(x, D_f(y)) * (d_f \circ D_f)(y)) = (d_f(x) * f(x, y)) \sqcap (f(x, y) * (d_f \circ D_f)(y)) =$



$((f(x, y) * (d_f \circ D_f)(y)) * (d_f(x) * f(x, y))) * (d_f(x) * f(x, y)) = (f(x, y) * (d_f \circ D_f)(y)) * ((d_f(x) * f(x, y)) * (d_f(x) * f(x, y))) = (f(x, y) * (d_f \circ D_f)(y)) * 0 = f(x, y) * (d_f \circ D_f)(y) = f(x, D_f(y)) * (d_f \circ D_f)(y) = f(x, y) * (d_f \circ D_f)(y) = (f(x, y) * (d_f \circ D_f)(y)) * 0 = (f(x, y) * (d_f \circ D_f)(y)) * ((d_f \circ D_f)(x) * f(x, y)) * ((d_f \circ D_f)(x) * f(x, y)) = ((f(x, y) * (d_f \circ D_f)(y)) * ((d_f \circ D_f)(x) * f(x, y))) * ((d_f \circ D_f)(x) * f(x, y)) = ((d_f \circ D_f)(x) * f(x, y)) \sqcap (f(x, y) * (d_f \circ D_f)(y)).$   
Hence,  $d_f \circ D_f$  is an  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .  $\square$

The following proposition follows easily.

**Proposition 3.21.** *Let  $f$  be a binary operation on defined by  $f(x, y) = y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . If  $d_f$  and  $D_f$  are  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivations of  $X$ , then  $d_f \circ D_f$  is also an  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivation of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 3.22.** *Let  $\beta_l$  be a left bi-endomorphism on an associative and edge  $d$ -algebra  $X$  and let  $d_{\beta_l}, D_{\beta_l}$  be  $(l, r)$ - $\beta_l$ -derivations of  $X$ . Then*

- (1)  $(\forall x \in X)((d_{\beta_l} \circ D_{\beta_l})(x) = d_{\beta_l}(\beta_l(x, 0))),$
- (2)  $(\forall x \in X)(\beta_l(x, 0) = 0 \Rightarrow (d_{\beta_l} \circ D_{\beta_l})(x) = 0),$
- (3)  $d_{\beta_l} \circ D_{\beta_l}$  is regular.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x \in X$ . By (E), (d1), and Proposition 3.12, we have  $(d_{\beta_l} \circ D_{\beta_l})(x) = (d_{\beta_l} \circ D_{\beta_l})(x * 0) = d_{\beta_l}(D_{\beta_l}(x * 0)) = d_{\beta_l}((D_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) \sqcap (\beta_l(x, 0) * D_{\beta_l}(0))) = d_{\beta_l}(((\beta_l(x, 0) * D_{\beta_l}(0)) * (D_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0))) * (D_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0))) = d_{\beta_l}(((\beta_l(x, 0) * D_{\beta_l}(0)) * ((D_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)) * (D_{\beta_l}(x) * \beta_l(x, 0)))) = d_{\beta_l}((\beta_l(x, 0) * D_{\beta_l}(0)) * 0) = d_{\beta_l}(\beta_l(x, 0) * D_{\beta_l}(0)) = d_{\beta_l}(\beta_l(x, 0) * 0) = d_{\beta_l}(\beta_l(x, 0)).$

(2) The proof is straightforward by (1) and Proposition 3.12.

(3) This follows from (2) and Propositions 3.3 3.3.  $\square$

From Proposition 3.15, we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.23.** *Let  $\beta_r$  be a right bi-endomorphism on an edge  $d$ -algebra  $X$ . If  $d_{\beta_r}$  and  $D_{\beta_r}$  are  $(l, r)$ - $\beta_r$ -derivations of  $X$ , then  $d_{\beta_l} \circ D_{\beta_l}$  is the zero map.*

Next, we define  $\text{Der}_{(l,r)\text{-}f}(X)$  (resp.,  $\text{Der}_{(r,l)\text{-}f}(X)$ ) denotes the set of all  $(l, r)$ - $f$ -derivations (resp.,  $(r, l)$ - $f$ -derivations) on an associative and edge  $d$ -algebra  $X$ . Let  $d_f, D_f \in \text{Der}_{(l,r)\text{-}f}(X)$ . Define the binary operation  $\pitchfork$  on  $\text{Der}_f(X)$  as follows:  $d_f \pitchfork D_f = D_f$ .

The following two propositions follow from Propositions 3.12 and 3.15, respectively.

**Proposition 3.24.** *Let  $\beta_l$  be a left bi-endomorphism on an associative and edge  $d$ -algebra  $X$ . If  $d_{\beta_l}$  and  $D_{\beta_l}$  are  $(l, r)$ - $\beta_l$ -derivations of  $X$ , then  $d_{\beta_l} \mathfrak{m} D_{\beta_l}$  is a regular  $(l, r)$ - $\beta_l$ -derivation on  $X$ . Moreover,  $(\text{Der}_{(l,r)-\beta_l}(X), \mathfrak{m})$  is a band (idempotent semigroup).*

**Proposition 3.25.** *Let  $\beta_r$  be a right bi-endomorphism on an associative and edge  $d$ -algebra  $X$ . If  $d_{\beta_r}$  and  $D_{\beta_r}$  are  $(l, r)$ - $\beta_r$ -derivations of  $X$ , then  $d_{\beta_r} \mathfrak{m} D_{\beta_r}$  is the zero map. Moreover,  $(\text{Der}_{(l,r)-\beta_r}(X), \mathfrak{m})$  is a zero semigroup.*

**Acknowledgment.** The authors would like to thank the Research and Development Institute, Kamphaeng Phet Rajabhat University for support.

## References

- [1] J. Neggers, H. S. Kim, On  $d$ -algebras, *Math. Slovaca*, **49**, (1999), 19–26.
- [2] J. Neggers, Y. B. Jun, H. S. Kim, On  $d$ -ideals in  $d$ -algebras, *Math. Slovaca*, **49**, no. 3, (1999), 243–251.
- [3] N. Kandaraj, M. Chandramouleeswaran, On left  $F$ -derivations of  $d$ -algebras, *Int. J. Math. Arch.*, **3**, no. 11, (2012), 3961–3966.
- [4] R. M. Al-Omary, M. S. Khan, N. ur Rehman, On generalized derivations in  $d$ -algebras, *J. Adv. Res. Pure Math.*, **7**, no. 3, (2015), 23–34.
- [5] R. M. Al-Omary, On  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations in  $d$ -algebras, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.*, **12**, (2019), 549–556.
- [6] P. Muangkarn, C. Suanoom, P. Pengyim, A. Iampan,  $f_q$ -derivations of B-algebras, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, **11**, no. 2, (2021), 2047–2057.